Sampling-Based Planning for Single-/Multi-Robot Ergodic Coverage

Guillaume Sartoretti, National University of Singapore ICRA 2024 Tutorial on Ergodic Control, May 13th

http://www.marmotlab.org





University of Singapore National (

© Copyright National University of Singapore. All Rights Reserved.

Outline

- Informative Path Planning

 Definition, important considerations
- Ergodic Coverage

 Spectral-based constrained optimization
- Sampling-Based Planning
 - Stochastic Optimization, Cross-Entropy Planning

Outline

- Informative Path Planning

 Definition, important considerations
- Ergodic Coverage • Spectral-based constrained optimization
- Sampling-Based Planning
 - Stochastic Optimization, Cross-Entropy Planning

Informative Path Planning (IPP)



Lidar

Thermal sensor

TSDF





Occupancy grid



Gaussian distribution

Popović, Marija, et al. "An informative path planning framework for UAV-based terrain monitoring." Autonomous Robots 44.6 (2020): 889-911.

Schmid, Lukas, et al. "An efficient sampling-based method for online informative path planning in unknown environments." IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters 5.2 (2020): 1500-1507.

> / 1

Camera

Informative Path Planning (IPP)

Goal: plan the path of a mobile robot, to efficiently gather sensor measurements in a known/unknown environment and reconstruct some underlying distribution of interest.

- **Non-adaptive** IPP: the environment is known \rightarrow one-shot plan.
- **Adaptive** IPP: the environment is unknown or partially known.





Cao, Yuhong, et al. "CAtNIPP: Context-aware attention-based network for informative path planning." *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2023. Cao, Yuhong, et al. "Deep Reinforcement Learning-based Large-scale Robot Exploration." *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters* (2024).

Existing Methods



Wong, El-Mane, Frédéric Bourgault, and Tomonari Furukawa. "Multi-vehicle Bayesian search for multiple lost targets." *Proceedings of the 2005 ieee international conference on robotics and automation*. IEEE, 2005.

Hollinger, Geoffrey A., and Gaurav S. Sukhatme. "Sampling-based robotic information gathering algorithms." *The International Journal of Robotics Research* 33.9 (2014): 1271-1287. Arora, Sankalp, and Sebastian Scherer. "Randomized algorithm for informative path planning with budget constraints." *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2017.

Hitz Gregory et al "Adaptive continuous-space informative path planning for online environmental monitoring" Journal of Field Robotics 34.8 (2017): 1427-1449

Measurements and Agent Map/Belief

2D Gaussian Process as agent belief

- Represent a *continuous distribution* by interpolating between *discrete measurements*.
- Provide a measure of *uncertainty* to assess the accuracy of interpolations.
- Model sensor capacity through the *kernel* function.

$$egin{aligned} &\mu = \mu(\mathcal{X}^*) + K(\mathcal{X}^*,\mathcal{X})[K(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}) + \sigma_n^2 I]^{-1}(\mathcal{Y} - \mu(\mathcal{X})) \ &P = K(\mathcal{X}^*,\mathcal{X}^*) - K(\mathcal{X}^*,\mathcal{X})[K(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}) + \sigma_n^2 I]^{-1} imes K(\mathcal{X}^*,\mathcal{X})^T \end{aligned}$$



Outline

- Informative Path Planning • Definition, important considerations
- Ergodic Coverage

 Spectral-based constrained optimization
- Sampling-Based Planning
 - Stochastic Optimization, Cross-Entropy Planning

Trajectory Optimization for Ergodic Coverage

Find **controls**

$$\boldsymbol{u}^* = \arg \min_u \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$$

subject to $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} = f(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{u}(t))$





Trajectory Optimization for Ergodic Coverage

Find **controls** that **minimize** the ergodic metric

$$\boldsymbol{u}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_u \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$$

subject to
$$\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} = f(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{u}(t))$$





Trajectory Optimization for Ergodic Coverage

Find **controls** that **minimize** the ergodic metric subject to **dynamic constraints**

$$\boldsymbol{u}^* = \arg \min_u \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$$

subject to $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} = f(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{u}(t))$



We seek a long-term path that minimizes the ergodic metric

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (c_k \big(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t) \big) - \boldsymbol{\xi}_k)^2$$

We seek a long-term path γ that minimizes the **ergodic metric**

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (c_k (\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)) - \boldsymbol{\xi}_k)^2$$

$$\gamma = [x_0, \dots, x_{T-1}]$$

Robot trajectory

We seek $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ that minimizes the $\boldsymbol{ergodic}$ \boldsymbol{metric}

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (c_k (\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)) - \boldsymbol{\xi}_k)^2$$

$$\gamma = [x_0, \dots, x_{T-1}] \qquad \xi(x)$$

Robot trajectory Utility function

We seek $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ that minimizes the $\boldsymbol{ergodic}$ \boldsymbol{metric}



We seek $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ that minimizes the $\boldsymbol{ergodic}$ \boldsymbol{metric}

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (\boldsymbol{c}_k(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)) - \boldsymbol{\xi}_k)^2$$



We seek $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ that minimizes the $\boldsymbol{ergodic}$ \boldsymbol{metric}

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (c_k(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)) - \boldsymbol{\xi}_k)^2$$

m = 100Number of Fourier coefficients



We seek **v** that minimizes the **eraodic metric**

Robot

$$\Phi(\gamma,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (c_k(\gamma(t)) - \xi_k)^2 \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{coefficients} \\ \alpha_k = \sqrt{(1+k^2)^{-(d+1)}} \\ \alpha_k = \sqrt{(1+k^2$$

m = 100

Number of Fourier

Outline

- Informative Path Planning • Definition, important considerations
- Ergodic Coverage • Spectral-based constrained optimization
- Sampling-Based Planning
 - Stochastic Optimization, Cross-Entropy Planning

Dynamics-Aware Optimization

For simple agent dynamics, the constrained optimization system below can be exactly solved to obtain optimal controls (e.g., [2]):

 $\boldsymbol{u}^* = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$

subject to $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} = f(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{u}(t))$

However, for general cases, and at lower computational cost:

Stochastic Trajectory Optimization

Stochastic Trajectory Optimization

Deterministic vs Stochastic trajectory optimization

- DTO: Artificial Potential Field, A*, etc.
- STO: Rapidly exploring random tree, Particle swarm optimization,

Simulated annealing, Bayesian optimization, etc.



[3] Kobilarov, M. (2012). Cross-Entropy Randomized Motion Planning. Robotics: Science and Systems VII, 153.

[4] Fedele, G. (2018). Obstacles avoidance based on switching potential functions. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, 90, pp.387-405.

[5] LaValle, Steven M. (1998). Rapidly-exploring random trees: A new tool for path planning. Technical Report (TR 98-11).

[6] Axel Thevenot. https://towardsdatascience.com/particle-swarm-optimization-visually-explained-46289eeb2e14

Sampling-based Cross-Entropy Planning

Formulation

• Sample a vector z from a Gaussian mixture model p(z;v)

$$p(z;v) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{w_k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n_z} |\Sigma_k|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z-\mu_k)^T \sigma_k^{-1}(z-\mu_k)}$$

Sample a set of trajectories, and evaluate the cost function J(z) (here, the <u>Ergodic metric</u>).





[3] Kobilarov, M. (2012). Cross-Entropy Randomized Motion Planning. Robotics: Science and Systems VII, 153.

Sampling-based Cross-Entropy Planning

Formulation

- Sample a vector z from a Gaussian mixture model p(z;v) $p(z;v) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{w_k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n_z} |\Sigma_k|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z-\mu_k)^T \sigma_k^{-1}(z-\mu_k)}$
- Sample a set of trajectories, and evaluate the cost function J(z) (here, the <u>Ergodic metric</u>).
- use a subset of elite trajectories (e.g., best 20%) and update v
- after some iterations, p(z;v) tends to a delta distribution, yielding (near-)optimal paths wrt Ergodicity.

Example: Ergodic Coverage by UGVs

Dubins car model with state $q = (x, y, \theta)$ of coordinates and orientation $\dot{x} = ucos\theta$, $\dot{y} = usin\theta$, $\dot{\theta} = v$

Define primitives based on forward velocity v and turning rate w (based on the agent's dynamics)

- straight lines (constant velocity v, and w = 0)
- arcs of radius $v/w (v, w \neq 0)$

Importance sampling and evaluation

- Path: sequences of primitives (z = [v1, w1, v2, w2, ..., vn, wn])
- calculate ergodicity and update Gaussian mixture model
- iterate above steps until convergence/fixed # of iterations

[3] Kobilarov, M. (2012). Cross-Entropy Randomized Motion Planning. Robotics: Science and Systems VII, 153.

Sampling-based Cross-Entropy Ergodic Planning



[7] https://github.com/biorobotics/stoec

[8] Ayvali, E., Salman, H., & Choset, H. (2017, September). Ergodic coverage in constrained environments using stochastic trajectory optimization. IROS 2017, (pp. 5204-5210).

Sampling-based Cross-Entropy Ergodic Planning



[7] https://github.com/biorobotics/stoec

[8] Ayvali, E., Salman, H., & Choset, H. (2017, September). Ergodic coverage in constrained environments using stochastic trajectory optimization. IROS 2017, (pp. 5204-5210).

Summary

- 1. Single-agent coverage can greatly benefit from Ergodicity when a prior over the domain is available:
 - Avoids <u>myopic decision-making</u>, by naturally balancing exploration and exploitation in spectral domain (*long-term*, *large-scale*).
- 2. More complex agent dynamics can be handled via <u>stochastic</u> <u>trajectory optimization</u> (e.g., sampling-based methods):

• Linear cost in number of sample.

Sub-/Near-optimal results.